The 2nd AIAA MACTOCIASTIC Prediction Workshop

AePW-2 AIAA SciTech

January 2-3, 2016 San Diego, CA

Agenda:

- Attached & separated flow cases
- Best practices for unsteady simulation
- Flutter analysis benchmarking
- Benchmark supercritical wing configuration
- Transonic & Subsonic analysis conditions

Sponsored by AIAA Structural Dynamics Technical Committee Tweet to: #Unsteady Coupling Website address:

http://nescacademy.nasa.gov/workshops/AePW2/public/

Welcome, overview, logistics and agenda Jennifer Heeg – NASA

- Welcome, overview, logistics and agenda (Jennifer Heeg – NASA)
- Present the final list of participants
- Logistics
- Review the objectives of AePW Learn with our mistakes
- News in AePW-2 never do more than one config! Flutter cases.
- Why BSCW It's challenging enough, cases descriptions
- FRF results, phase matters.
- February 29th last day to commit AePW-2 results

Fluid-Structure coupling methods Mats Dalenbring - FOI

- Review of Monolithic vs staggered approach
- CFD and FEM have different numerical and temporal requirements
- Mesh deformation vs mesh translation or rotation
- Compares different time-steps and flutter predicted dynamic pressures
- Interesting results about, RANS and hybrid RANS-LES modeling in show motion cases

Turbulence Modeling effects Yuval Levy – Israeli CFD Center

- Good overview about turbulence model classification:
 - Boussinesq hypothesis
 - Reynolds stress-models
 - Hybrid RANS-LES
- Most of participants are using Boussinesq (SA and k-w SST)
- For attached flows, standard RANS models are OK.
- For really unsteady and detached flows, turbulence modeling really matters.
- Show different models, results, boundary conditions for BSCW detached flow cases

Temporal effects summary Jennifer Heeg – NASA

- Discuss about unsteadiness identified with RANS and URANS
- How to determine the wright time-step
- How to decoupled time and mesh dependence
- Sub iteration effects
- 10 cycles at least is a rule of thumb
- Time step really matters, can effective changes the results.

Linear Methods Guilherme Begnini - Embraer

- Show a variety of linear methods based on AiC (NASTRAN and ZTRAN), adjusted and non-adjusted
- NASTRAN with Generalized Aerodynamic Forces from Linearized CFD
- Linearized time domain CFD results
- Non linear Euler time domain CFD results
- DLM presented the closest results in terms of flutter velocity and frequency.