AePW-4 High-Angle Working Group Kickoff Meeting



May 9, 2024 Pawel Chwalowski Pawel.Chwalowski@nasa.gov AePW



- An open and impartial forum to assess and evaluate the current stateof-the-art and state-of-the-practice in computational aeroelastic modeling
 - How effective are current solvers at predicting aeroelastic physics critical to aircraft analysis and design?
 - How can we understand the reasons for why our solvers may fail?
 - Can we establish best-practices for using aeroelastic solvers?
 - Can we establish uncertainty bounds for computational results?
 - Can we specify requirements on future validation experiments?
- What computational and experimental areas of research need further development?



- Kirk Brouwer, AFRL (High-Speed WG)
- Carlos Cesnik, University of Michigan
- Pawel Chwalowski, NASA LaRC (High-Angle WG)
- Adam Jirasek, USAFA
- Jeff Ouellette, NASA LaRC
- Rafael Palacios, Imperial College London (High-Deformation WG)
- Daniella Raveh, Technion
- Markus Ritter, DLR
- Walt Silva, NASA LaRC
- Bret Stanford, NASA LaRC (AePW-4)

Transition to AePW-4....Joint Working Groups with DPW-8

https://aiaa-dpw.larc.nasa.gov

Joint workshop will take place at AIAA Aviation 2026



High-Angle WG: BSCW Wing Configuration



Aepn

- \circ AePW-1:
 - Steady-rigid and forced-oscillation cases at Mach 0.85, AoA = 5° \checkmark
- \circ AePW-2:
 - Forced-oscillation case at Mach 0.70, AoA = 3° \checkmark
 - Flutter prediction at Mach 0.74, AoA = 0° \checkmark
 - Unsteady-rigid, forced-oscillation, and flutter cases at Mach 0.85, 5° \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark
- \circ AePW-3:
 - Flutter prediction at Mach 0.80, AoA = 5° \checkmark
 - Shock-buffet case at Mach 0.80, AoA = 5° √

AePW-3: What have we learned?



- Large spread in BSCW flutter predictions from AePW-3 (though not as bad as AePW-2)
- We need more experimental data: more flutter data points, and more on-and offbody flow data at each flutter point

eP







Figure 9. Stall flutter boundary in R-12 at M = 0.80.







Figure 9. Stall flutter boundary in R-12 at M = 0.80.

Future Experiment: Spring 2025



- Unsteady Pressure Sensitive Paint
- Flutter Stopper
- Two rows of pressure sensors + several on splitter plate
- PIV

٠

• Flutter and buffet data at Mach, Q, AoA range



Figure 9. Stall flutter boundary in R-12 at M = 0.80.

Current Computational Effort w/FUN3D ...trying to cover different methods...



- FUN3D URANS time domain analysis: Rigid steady → Static aeroelastic → Dynamic aeroelastic (with initial excitation using Gvel=5)
- Working on:
 Rigid steady → Dynamic aeroelastic (Jig shape)
- Working on:
 Scale-resolving DDES FUN3D time domain analysis:
 Rigid steady → Static aeroelastic →
 Dynamic aeroelastic (with initial excitation using Gvel)
- Working on:
 Adding URANS solutions for Xfine Mesh



Current Computational Effort w/FUN3D ...trying to cover different methods...



- FUN3D <u>Linearized Frequency Domain (LFD)</u>:
 Rigid steady → Static aeroelastic → LFD
 - LFD + Mesh Adaptation

Working on:
 Adding angle-of-attack sweeps





○ Mandatory

- Flutter prediction at Mach 0.80 and angle-of-attack sweep: 0° 6°
- \circ Optional
 - Flutter prediction at Mach 0.78, 0.76, 0.74 and angle-of-attack 3°

Schedule/Timeline/Logistics



- Monthly meetings on second Thursday of each month at 10am EDT
- Next meeting is on June 13th:
 - Agenda: CAD, Wing configuration, Grids, Computational domain, Flow conditions, etc.

- IFASD 2024: 17 21 June 2024, The Hague Bret Stanford
- AIAA Aviation 2024: Las Vegas, NV Bret Stanford
- AIAA SciTech 2025: Orlando, FL
- Spring 2025: BSCW Experiment (Data release ?)

• AIAA Aviation 2026: AePW-4 Workshop

AePW-4 High-Angle Working Group Kickoff Meeting



May 9, 2024 Pawel Chwalowski Pawel.Chwalowski@nasa.gov 4ePW